Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/830,118	WILLIAMS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Devin Hanan	3745
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) <u>Devin Hanan</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Stephen Catlin</u> .	(4)	•
Date of Interview: 3 March 2006	Time: <u>10 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 102 rejection	•	
Claims discussed: claims 1, 3 and 25		
Prior art documents discussed: Thorp (U.S. Patent 2,642,263)		•
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Adding allowable subject matter into independent claims. See 6		WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a record of the substance	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
	•	
·		
	•	
Der Hama		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)